

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,770	02/05/2004	Artoun Ramian	6500-015	2366	
²⁰³²³ WILLIAM B R	7590 09/13/200 CITCHIE		EXAMINER		
LAW OFICE OF WILLIAM B. RITCHIE			NGUYEN, QUYNH H		
413 NOBLE FAIRE DRIVE SUN CITY CENTER, FL 33573		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/772,770	RAMIAN, ARTOUN				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Quynh H. Nguyen	2614				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		t with the correspondence address				
Period fo	• •	/ 10 OFT TO EVOIDE	· MONTH/OV OR THIRTY (ON DAYO				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUM (36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-4,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	bee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) D Notice	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/772,770

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takae et al. (US Patent 6,795,703) in view of Hutchinson (US Patent 7,197,124).

As to claim 1, Takae et al. teaches the steps of:

at least one handset (Fig. 1, handset 2) adapted to receive and transmit telephony communication;

at least one computer server (Fig. 1, 1), associated with at least one handset, the computer server having an account number (col. 3, lines 24-45; col. 10, lines 11-28) wherein the handset number used to contact the handset can be changed by a user in response to a telephone call made to the automated call handling system's account number (col. 10, lines 37-41).

Takae does not explicitly teach the computer server functions as a telephone exchange.

Hutchinson teaches the computer server functions as a telephone exchange (col. 2, lines 47-57).

Application/Control Number: 10/772,770 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Hutchinson into the teachings of Takae for the purpose of having a more efficient system by having a server performing functions associate with incoming call as a telephone exchange / station, as discussed by Hutchinson (col. 1, line 62 through col. 2, line 4; col. 2, lines 47-52).

As to claims 2 and 3, Takae et al. teaches the computer server authenticate users/owner of the handset (col. 4, lines 57-67; col. 7, lines 46-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Takae's system to include the step of having an authorization code corresponding to the time of day, day of week, and calling party such that the user can change authorization code that allow user to change his or her authorization account as frequent as needed in order to protect improper access to the accounts.

As to claims 4 and 11, Takae et al. teaches the requesting customer notifying his / her phone number (identification) and password before his /her request accepted (col. 4, lines 27-46); and Hutchinson also teaches this limitation (col. 3, lines 26-44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that otherwise will be rejected.

As to claim 10, Hutchinson teaches the computer server comprises means for communicating the use's telephone on the at least one handset to a list of third parties (col. 4, lines 7-17).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claim 5, prior arts of record fail to teach, or render obvious, alone or in combination an automated call handling system comprising the claimed means and their components, relationships, and functionalities as specifically recited in claim 5 and claims 1-4 that it depends on.

Claims 6-9 are objected because they depend on objected claim 5.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Alleman (US Patent 5,883,964) teaches interactive telephone system for optimizing.

Clark et al. (US Patent 5,077,789) teaches service remotely commanded telephone switch enhancing system.

Kahn (US Patent 4,086,438) teaches automatic interconnection system.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,770

Art Unit: 2614

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Duynh H. Nguyen

Quynh H. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2614